

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/799,845	03/12/2004	Rong-Ming Ho	UCL0301 8486	
22192	7590 05/09/2006		EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICE OF LIAUH & ASSOC.			MULLIS, JEFFREY C	
4224 WAIALAE AVE STE 5-388			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HONOLULU, HI 96816			1711	
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/799,845	HO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 20 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	•		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended and a set of the set of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F 36(a) and the appropria of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	on. FILED WITHIN te extension fee iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further composed (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belometer) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a composition of the proposed in th	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co:	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-6,8 and 9. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-15,17 and 18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a 🦙
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	
13. Other:	(1.10/05/00 01 F10-1449) Faper II	Jeffrey C. Mullis J Mullis Art Unit: 1711	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20060505

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 10-15 17 and 18 do not contain all of the limitations of the allowed claims in that the allowed claims are drawn to a process not a product. Amending the rejected claims to claim a process would place the case in condition for allowance provided no other changes are made.